	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/508,870	FURUHATA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Luke Gilligan	3626
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Luke Gilligan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Steve Cho</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	AL MATURE OF MULTINA	. = . =
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The Examiner left a voice mail indicating that no response to the		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Walk		
C. LUKE GILLIGAN PATENT EXAMINER		
	Applicant's Representative Signature	anature – if appropriate)